

THE MICHIGAN DAILY  
5 December 1978

TO BE PRESENTED TO FACULTY FOR VOTE

# SACUA drafts 'U' intelligence agency guidelines

By LEONARD BERNSTEIN

The University's attempt to formulate policy covering its relationship with domestic and foreign intelligence agencies faces crucial test at this month's Faculty Senate Assembly meeting on Dec. 18.

The Senate Advisory Committee for University Affairs (SACUA) yesterday placed the most recent draft of the controversial guidelines, formulated last week by the Civil Liberties Board (CLB), on the agenda for the faculty body's next monthly meeting.

THE LATEST CLB draft, which will be brought before the Assembly for discussion and a vote without appraisal from SACUA, represents the culmination of a year's discussion on the issue from faculty, administration, and student sources.

The latest draft, dated Nov. 29, differs somewhat in principle and language from the Sept. 27 draft the CLB had presented to SACUA. According to SACUA Chairman Shaw Livermore, those differences represent responses by the CLB to suggestions made by University Vice-President for Academic Affairs Harold Shapiro.

The most significant changes involved the attempts to apply specific rules governing activities by intelligence agencies as opposed to other organizations.

THE CURRENT DRAFT states "no member of the University community should assist any person or organization, including intelligence agencies, in obtaining the involuntary services of another member of the University community." The Sept. 27 draft of this resolution applied only to intelligence agencies.

But the CLB retained language singling out intelligence agencies in the principle regarding recruitment at the University.

The guidelines still state: "No member of the University community should give the name of another member of the University community to any intelligence agency for the purpose of possible recruitment by the intelligence agency without the express prior consent of that individual."

THE BOARD ADDED the phrase "unless required to do so by law or subpoena" at the end of this clause.

The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) has long objected to University attempts to use specific rules with recruitment by intelligence agencies. In a July 17 of this year letter to University President Robben Fleming, CIA Director Admiral Stansfield Turner wrote: "It does seem to me both inequitable and a potential disservice to the country to apply to inquiries from this Agency rules of procedure that do not apply to other applicants for personnel information or recommendations."

But SACUA member Margaret Leary, who also sits on the Civil Liberties Board, explained the decision to retain the original wording of this clause was due to "the superior secret investigative machinery that intelligence agencies have."

THE TWO OTHER resolves of the current document state members of the University should not:

- "lend their names and positions to gain public acceptance for material they know to be misleading or untrue," or
- "use their academic role as a ruse for obtaining information for intelligence agencies."

The guidelines also state that "adjudication of alleged violations will be the responsibility of University bodies and officials and governed by existing

rules and regulations."

The Dec. 18 meeting will mark the second time the Faculty Senate Assembly has reviewed a policy proposal on intelligence agencies in the last year. The 70 member group rejected a proposal last May because of numerous objections by faculty members. The guidelines were sent back to the CLB for reworking at that time.

OBSERVERS WERE unable to predict the chances of the current document receiving approval by the Assembly this time. However, most seemed optimistic that, after discussions of wording and content, a vote would be taken on the principles in the document.

SACUA member Jesse Gordon, and professor of Social Work and Psychology, said he was "rather strongly" in favor of the document, though he did want to suggest some changes. Gordon agreed with opponents that the guidelines are an encroachment of academic freedom, but he said he thought they are "an appropriate one."

"Academic freedoms can only cover honorable activities," he said.

BUT ENGINEERING Prof. Arch Naylor, another SACUA member, said he would probably vote against the guidelines when the Assembly meets.

Naylor stressed that he had not thoroughly read the present draft and that "there certainly have been modifications in the direction I would like to see it modified," but maintained that "I'll have to be convinced we really need such a document."

NAYLOR ALSO said he was unsure the guidelines would be effective and that he was apprehensive about "rules on things faculty are not allowed to do" which include "an implied punishment mechanism."

Should the guidelines be approved by the Assembly, they must then be recommended to the Regents by the administration. The Regents must then approve the guidelines for them to become University policy.

SACUA Chairman and history Prof. Shaw Livermore, who has acted as intermediary between the CLB and the administration for much of the discussion on the guidelines, was optimistic about the possibility of such a recommendation.